INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

To the shareholders and the Supervisory Board of Givaudan Finance Europe B.V.

Report on the audit of the financial statements 2022 included in the annual accounts

Our opinion

We have audited the financial statements 2022 of Givaudan Finance Europe B.V., based in Naarden.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of Givaudan Finance Europe B.V. as at December 31, 2022, and of its result for 2022 in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

The financial statements comprise:

1. The balance sheet as at December 31, 2022.
2. The profit and loss account for 2022.
3. The notes comprising a summary of the accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Basis for our opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Dutch law, including the Dutch Standards on Auditing. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the ‘Our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements’ section of our report.

We are independent of Givaudan Finance Europe B.V. in accordance with the EU Regulation on specific requirements regarding statutory audit of public-interest entities, the Wet toezicht accountantsorganisaties (Wta, Audit firms supervision act), the Verordening inzake de onafhankelijkheid van accountants bij assurance-opdrachten (ViO, Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, a regulation with respect to independence) and other relevant independence regulations in the Netherlands. Furthermore, we have complied with the Verordening gedrags- en beroepsregels accountants (VGBA, Dutch Code of Ethics).

We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Information in support of our opinion

We designed our audit procedures in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole and in forming our opinion thereon. The following information in support of our opinion was addressed in this context, and we do not provide a separate opinion or conclusion on these matters.
Materiality

Based on our professional judgement we determined the materiality for the financial statements as a whole at EUR 11,500,000. The materiality is based on 1% of total assets. The benchmark total assets is appropriate for highly leveraged entities with significant asset-backed financing arrangement. As this is applicable for Givaudan Finance Europe B.V., based on the debt to equity ratio, we conclude that the total assets is an appropriate benchmark for Givaudan Finance Europe B.V. We have also taken into account misstatements and/or possible misstatements that in our opinion are material for the users of the financial statements for qualitative reasons.

We agreed with the Supervisory Board that misstatements in excess of EUR 575,000, which are identified during the audit, would be reported to them, as well as smaller misstatements that in our view must be reported on qualitative grounds.

Audit approach fraud risks

We identified and assessed the risks of material misstatements of the financial statements due to fraud. During our audit we obtained an understanding of the entity and its environment and the components of the system of internal control, including the risk assessment process and management’s process for responding to the risks of fraud and monitoring the system of internal control and how the Supervisory Board exercises oversight, as well as the outcomes.

We evaluated the design and relevant aspects of the system of internal control and in particular the fraud risk assessment, as well as among others the code of conduct, whistle blower procedures and incident registration for the Givaudan Group. We evaluated the design and the implementation and, where considered appropriate, tested the operating effectiveness, of internal controls designed to mitigate fraud risks.

As part of our process of identifying fraud risks, we evaluated fraud risk factors with respect to financial reporting fraud, misappropriation of assets and bribery and corruption. We evaluated whether these factors indicate that a risk of material misstatement due fraud is present.

We identified the following fraud risks and performed the following specific procedures:

We presume a risk of material misstatement due to fraud related to management override of controls. Management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of management’s ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.

We incorporated elements of unpredictability in our audit. We also considered the outcome of our other audit procedures and evaluated whether any findings were indicative of fraud or non-compliance.

We considered available information and made enquiries of relevant executives and the Supervisory Board.

We tested the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements.

We evaluated whether the selection and application of accounting policies by the group, particularly those related to subjective measurements and complex transactions, may be indicative of fraudulent financial reporting.

These procedures did not lead to indications for fraud potentially resulting in material misstatements.
Audit approach compliance with laws and regulations

We assessed the laws and regulations relevant to the Company through discussion with local management and reading minutes.

As a result of our risk assessment procedures, and while realizing that the effects from non-compliance could considerably vary, we considered the following laws and regulations: adherence to (corporate) tax law and financial reporting regulations, the requirements under Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code with a direct effect on the financial statements as an integrated part of our audit procedures, to the extent material for the related financial statements.

We obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding provisions of those laws and regulations generally recognized to have a direct effect on the financial statements.

Apart from these, Givaudan Finance Europe B.V. is subject to other laws and regulations where the consequences of non-compliance could have a material effect on amounts and/or disclosures in the financial statements, for instance, through imposing fines or litigation.

Our procedures are more limited with respect to these laws and regulations that do not have a direct effect on the determination of the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Compliance with these laws and regulations may be fundamental to the operating aspects of the business, to Givaudan Finance Europe B.V.’s ability to continue its business, or to avoid material penalties (e.g., compliance with the terms of operating licenses and permits or compliance with environmental regulations) and therefore non-compliance with such laws and regulations may have a material effect on the financial statements. Our responsibility is limited to undertaking specified audit procedures to help identify non-compliance with those laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements. Our procedures are limited to (i) inquiry of management, the Supervisory Board, the Executive Board and others within Givaudan Finance Europe B.V.’s as to whether the Givaudan Finance Europe B.V. is in compliance with such laws and regulations and (ii) inspecting correspondence, if any, with the relevant licensing or regulatory authorities to help identify non-compliance with those laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements.

Naturally, we remained alert to indications of (suspected) non-compliance throughout the audit.

Finally, we obtained written representations that all known instances of (suspected) fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations have been disclosed to us.

Audit approach going concern

As part of the preparation of the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the company’s ability to continue as a going concern. In order to assess the company’s ability to continue as a going concern, management have prepared an assessment.

We have prepared the following specific procedures to evaluate management’s going concern estimate:

- We evaluated management’s assessment of the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, for and also beyond the period of management’s assessment. We performed a retrospective review of management judgments and assumptions related to going concern estimates reflected in prior year financial statements.
• We obtained confirmations of the outstanding loans to Givaudan S.A., obtained the most recent financial information of Givaudan S.A. and evaluated the potential impairments of these loans to group companies. Reference is made to the section “Our key audit matters”.

• We evaluated whether conditions exist that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.

These procedures did not lead to indications that the company is not able to continue as a going concern, which is in line with management’s assessment.

Our key audit matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements. We have communicated the key audit matters to the Supervisory Board. The key audit matters are not a comprehensive reflection of all matters discussed.

These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuation - Loans to Group Companies and related interest income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key audit matter description</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How our audit responded to the key audit matter</th>
<th>We performed the following procedures to audit the valuation of the loans to Givaudan S.A. group companies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• We recalculated the amortized cost value and the related interest income based on the effective interest method.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We verified the input data in the calculation such as the principal amount, reduced finance costs, interest rates, the payment dates and the maturity dates with the contracts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We verified that the interest rate is at arm’s length based on the advanced pricing agreements and credit spread compared to the bonds issued during 2020.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We obtained confirmations of the outstanding loans from Givaudan S.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• We obtained the most recent financial information of Givaudan S.A. and evaluated the potential impairments of these loans to group companies.

Observation

Applying the aforementioned materiality, we have audited the valuation of loans to group companies and the related interest income as recorded in the financial statements.

Based on our procedures performed, we did not identify any reportable matters.

Valuation - in-house cash bank account

Key audit matter description

We consider the valuation of the in-house cash bank account for an amount of EUR 165,361,000 to be a key audit matter. The in-house cash bank account comprise a significant part of the financial statements. There is a risk of potential impairment of this in-house cash bank account as the cash is pooled on consolidated pooling accounts opened by Givaudan Treasury International S.A. (part of Givaudan S.A.). The risk of potential impairments is identified as a result of the significant part of the financial statements and the fact that it only relates to one counterparty (Givaudan Treasury International S.A.).

How our audit responded to the key audit matter

We performed the following procedures to audit the valuation of the in-house cash bank account:

• We obtained confirmations of the outstanding cash in the in-house cash bank account.

• We obtained the most recent financial information of Givaudan S.A. and evaluated the potential impairments of these loans to group companies.

Observation

Applying the aforementioned materiality, we have audited the valuation of in-house cash bank account as recorded in the financial statements.

Based on our procedures performed, we did not identify any reportable matters.

Report on the other information included in the annual accounts

The annual accounts contain other information, in addition to the financial statements and our auditor’s report thereon.

The other information consists of:

• Directors’ Report.

• Report of the Supervisory Board.

• Other information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.
Based on the following procedures performed, we conclude that the other information:

- Is consistent with the financial statements and does not contain material misstatements.
- Contains all the information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

We have read the other information. Based on our knowledge and understanding obtained through our audit of the financial statements or otherwise, we have considered whether the other information contains material misstatements.

By performing these procedures, we comply with the requirements of Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code and the Dutch Standard 720. The scope of the procedures performed is substantially less than the scope of those performed in our audit of the financial statements.

Management is responsible for the preparation of the other information, including the Directors’ Report in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code, and the other information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

**Report on other legal and regulatory requirements**

**Engagement**

We were engaged by the Supervisory Board as auditor of Givaudan Finance Europe B.V. on May 29, 2020, as of the audit for the year ended on December 31, 2020 and have operated as statutory auditor ever since that financial year.

**No prohibited non-audit services**

We have not provided prohibited non-audit services as referred to in Article 5(1) of the EU Regulation on specific requirements regarding statutory audit of public-interest entities.

**Description of responsibilities regarding the financial statements**

**Responsibilities of management and the Supervisory Board for the financial statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code. Furthermore, management is responsible for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

As part of the preparation of the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Based on the financial reporting framework mentioned, management should prepare the financial statements using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the company or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Management should disclose events and circumstances that may cast significant doubt on the company’s ability to continue as a going concern in the financial statements.

The Supervisory Board is responsible for overseeing the company’s financial reporting process.
Our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objective is to plan and perform the audit assignment in a manner that allows us to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence for our opinion.

Our audit has been performed with a high, but not absolute, level of assurance, which means we may not detect all material errors and fraud during our audit.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. The materiality affects the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures and the evaluation of the effect of identified misstatements on our opinion.

We have exercised professional judgement and have maintained professional scepticism throughout the audit, in accordance with Dutch Standards on Auditing, ethical requirements and independence requirements. Our audit included among others:

- Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, designing and performing audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtaining audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.

- Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control.

- Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.

- Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting, and based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the company's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the company to cease to continue as a going concern.

- Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures.

- Evaluating whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with management regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant findings in internal control that we identified during our audit. In this respect we also submit an additional report to the audit committee in accordance with Article 11 of the EU Regulation on specific requirements regarding statutory audit of public-interest entities. The information included in this additional report is consistent with our audit opinion in this auditor's report.
We provide the Supervisory Board with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with the Supervisory Board, we determine the key audit matters: those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the financial statements. We describe these matters in our auditor’s report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, not communicating the matter is in the public interest.

Amsterdam, February 22, 2023

Deloitte Accountants B.V.
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